

POSITION STATEMENTS:

As at November 2023

LONG RANGE SHOOTING

VITA-DARTING

HUNTING OF CAPTIVE BRED PREDATORS

======

CPHC-SA POSITION STATEMENT

LONG RANGE SHOOTING

Version: 1November 2020Version: 2October 2023 (last paragraph of version 1 amended)

Situational Overview

Bullets fired from hunting rifles have had the capability of hitting targets at long distances for many decades. Regardless of these capabilities, sportsmen have historically held themselves to an ethical standard of not taking excessively long or risky shots at the big game animals they pursue. New shooting technologies now being developed and promoted for use in hunting are encouraging hunters to shoot at substantially increased distances. These new technologies, while not illegal, are tempting hunters into taking longer and longer shots, which is raising significant ethical questions, including those of fair chase and intent.

The distance at which a shot is considered "long-range," ethical, or unethical cannot be defined by specific yardages because this varies with each individual situation. It depends on equipment, shooting conditions, the species being hunted, the hunter's experience and marksmanship skills, and other variables. It also depends on the commitment of every responsible hunter to avoid inflicting undue suffering, to make quick and humane kills, and to make every effort never to waste animals pursued as legal quarry. It is widely acknowledged that the likelihood of wounding, and the challenges of tracking, and recovering animals increase proportionally as shooting distances increase.

Hunting must involve the risk of detection and failure if there is to be any honor in having overcome

the superior senses and survival instincts of the hunted. It is for this reason that sportsmen have embraced limitations so that technology does not fully overwhelm the natural capacities of the prey they pursue. This is a self-imposed trade-off that decreases the likelihood of a successful harvest, but heightens the hunting experience and shows respect for the animals being hunted. Combined, these values represent the intent and cherished traditions of hunting.

Position

CPHC-SA believes the term "long-range" shooting is more defined by a hunter's intent, than any specific distance at which a shot is taken. If the intent of the individual is to test equipment and determine how far one can shoot to hit a live target and if there is no motivation to risk engagement with the animal being hunted, this practice is not hunting and should not be accorded the same status as hunting.

CPHC-SA maintains that hunting, at its most fundamental level, is defined by a tenuous and unpredictable relationship between predator and prey. This is an intrinsic, irrefutable and intimate connection that cannot be compromised if the hunter is to maintain the sanctity of this relationship and any credible claim that hunting is challenging, rewarding, respectful of wild creatures, and in service to wildlife conservation. This connection is built upon many complex components that differentiate hunting from simply shooting or killing.

CPHC-SA finds that long-range shooting takes unfair advantage of the game animal, effectively eliminates the natural capacity of an animal to use its senses and instincts to detect danger, and demeans the hunter/prey relationship in a way that diminishes the importance and relevance of the animal and the hunt.

CPHC-SA urges all hunters, **including professional hunters and hunting outfitters** to think carefully of the consequences of long-range hunts, whether hunting with a rifle, bow, muzzleloader, crossbow, or handgun, and not confuse the purposes and intent of long-range shooting with fair chase hunting.

Acknowledgements to the Boone and Crockett Club

<u>-end-</u>

CPHC-SA POSITION STATEMENT RHINO VITA-DARTING

Version: 1: October 2023

Custodians believes that vita-darting enables rhino owners to raise funds to aid their rhino conservation efforts. Therefore, until such time as Custodians identified another method to raise these necessary funds whilst providing hunting clients with an opportunity to partake in a rhino darting experience, Custodians supports the vita-darting (as described below) of a rhino, when an authorised veterinarian need to immobilise a rhino for the following purposes and provided that all Nature Conservation Authorities' legislation and permits are being adhered to: (a) carrying out a disease control procedure or a scientific experiment of for management

purposes; (b) veterinary treatment of the animal; or (c) translocating or transporting the animal.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

1. RHINO GREEN HUNTING vs RHINO VITA-DARTING:

a. Green Hunting (Dart Hunting in terms o TOPS Regulations)

- Previously, hunting clients were allowed to undertake green (dart) hunts, whereby the hunter would fire a "barbed" dart containing M99 in the presence of a veterinarian present on the hunt and when. "Barbed" darts remain in place at the time of darting to ensure the required drug/s enter the animal's system, to achieve the Veterinarian's desired results of immobilizing the animal.
 Green hunts would have taken place when a veterinarian had to perform certain procedures in terms of the landowner's Rhino Owners Management Plan as approved by Nature Conservation. These processes include amongst others pregnancy tests, microchipping, DNA sampling, general health checks, horn removal, Anti-poaching
- *ii.* In terms of the TOPS definition for "Hunt", a Green (darted) hunt of a TOPS animal did not qualify as hunting, as there was no intent to kill:

tracking/monitoring devices being fitted/removed/replaced, etc.

TOPS Definition of Hunt:

(a) to intentionally kill such species by any means, method or device whatsoever;

(b) to capture such species by any means method or device whatsoever with the <u>intent</u> to kill;

(c) to search for, lie in wait for, pursue, shoot at, tranquilise or immobilise such a species with the <u>intent to kill</u>; or

(d) to lure by any means, method or device whatsoever, such species with the intent to kill

iii. <u>TOPS: Regulation 26: Prohibited methods of hunting:</u>

(1) An issuing authority considering an application for the hunting of a listed TOP Species may not authorise the following methods of hunting

.... (a)(v) darting, except as provided for in sub-regulation (4)....

<u>Sub-regulation 26(4)</u>: Sub-regulation (1)(a)(v) and (c) does not prevent the darting of an animal by a veterinarian or any other person authorised by the South African Veterinary Council in writing and in possession of a valid permit, whether on foot or from a motorised vehicle or aircraft, to immobilise or tranquilise the animal for the purpose of - (a) carrying out a disease control procedure or a scientific experiment of for management purposes;

- (b) veterinary treatment of the animal; or
- (c) translocating or transporting the animal.
- *iv.* <u>SA Veterinary Council Rule 10</u> stipulates that that M99 may only be administered by a qualified veterinarian and therefore hunting clients are no longer allowed to dart a rhino, or any other animal, with a dart containing M99.

Therefore, green hunting, whereby the hunting client administers M99 by means of a

dart gun, is an illegal activity.

b. Vita-Darting:

- *i.* Vita-darting can be described an "experience" rather than a hunt, whereby the hunting client fires a "barbless" vitamin dart at the animal. "Barbless" darts can fall out naturally without being "stuck" in the animal's skin.
- *ii.* Once the vita-dart hit the animal, the hunter will step aside for the veterinarian to immobilise the animal, often being done professionally from a helicopter, as the team does everything within their power to ensure that the animal is tranquilised for the least time possible.
- *iii.* Only when the rhino is immobilized and "down", does the hunter become involved in this "experience", assisting the veterinarian on the procedures to be carried out as described in 1(a)(i) above.

2. PROVINCES' POSITION ON VITA-DARTING (JULY 2023):

At the IPPHC meeting held during July 2023, Custodians requested provinces present, to indicate whether they support vita-darting or not.

The following provinces were present:

Mpumalanga: View it as a green hunt. Allows it. Client must have a hunting licence; **only for dehorning** and veterinarian purposes;

Limpopo: Not allowing vitamin darting. Only issue for dehorning as such.

Free State: Do not allow vitamin darting at all

Western Cape: Haven't had applications yet. If doing, would need a permit to do so'

KZN: Not covered in Ordinance. Had no applications yet; Would review applications to be linked to management purposes

Gauteng: Had no applications yet. Only issuing permits for dehorning. If receiving a request, will be considered in terms of legislation.

VITA-DARTING ANNEXURE A:

TRANSCRIPT OF:

NOTICE BY EASTERN CAPE DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS, ENVIRONMENT & TOURISM

DATE: 13 December 2010

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN;

Darting of rhino Background Darting of TOPS listed Species 1.

1. BACKGROUND;

Green hunting was halted by the Veterinary Council of South Africa. Rhino owners who in the

past used this system to pay for their management of rhino in terms of darting are experiencing difficulty in darting animals for animal health reasons by using barbless darts to inject rhinos with e.g. Vitamin supplements, as it is a known fact that in certain areas of the Eastern Cape rhinos are experiencing vitamin or mineral deficiencies.

2. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS; In order to comply with the relevant legislation, the current applicable Environmental legislation is studied and the following conclusions are made; The following definitions is found in the Provincial Legislation and also contained in the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act including the TOPS Regulations;

2.1 "darting", in relation to a live specimen of a listed threatened or protected species, means to shoot the specimen with a projectile loaded with a tranquillizing, narcotic, immobilizing, or similar agent;

2.2 The definition of hunting in the provincial legislation makes it illegal to shoot at an animal, to disturb, to search for, to kill etc.

2.3 It is also illegal, according to the same provincial legislation, to immobilize an animal with an intoxicating drug etc.

2.4 In terms of the National Biodiversity Act, TOPS Regulations;

2.4.1 "hunt" in relation to a specimen of a listed threatened or protected species, includes –
(a) to intentionally kill such species by any means, method or device whatsoever;
(b) to capture such species by any means, method or device whatsoever with the intent to kill;
(c) to search for, lie in wait for, pursue, shoot at, tranquillize or immobilize such species with the intent to kill; or

(d) to lure by any means, method or device whatsoever, such species with the intent to kill, but excludes the culling of a listed threatened or protected species in a protected area or on a registered game farm or the culling of a listed threatened or protected species that has escaped from a protected area and has become a damage causing animal;

3. In terms of the National Biodiversity Act, TOPS Regulations;

3.1 "Restricted Activity" Hunting is defined as In relation to specimen of a listed threatened or protected species, means-

(i) Hunting, catching, capturing or killing any living specimen of a listed threatened or protected species, by any means, method or device whatsoever, including searching, pursuing, driving..., discharging a missile or injuring with the intent to hunt, catch or kill any such specimen.

4. CONCLUSION

4.1The supplementing of vitamins or anything similar is not constituting hunting, as no animal is immobilized or intoxicated with the intent to kill or to immobilize.

4.2The supplementation of these products also doesn't require any Veterinary supervision, according to any of The Environmental legislation or policies.

4.3The only part of this action which may requires a TOPS permit, is the launching of a missile

at a TOPS listed species, as it conforms to the definition of "hunting" therefore a permit is required only for the act of launching a missile at a TOPS listed species. As the use of a barbless dart to apply any Vitamin or any non-immobilizing or non-intoxicating substance into any TOPS listed species is not prohibited by any current Environmental Legislation, it would only be required from the landowner to obtain a permit for "launching of a missile" at a TOPS listed species. This legal opinion was tested with the national Department of Environment in Pretoria and they agree with the opinion as expressed above. I trust that the above-mentioned opinion is clear and should there be any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Jaap Pienaar

<u>---end---</u>

CPHC-SA POSITION STATEMENT HUNTING OF CAPTIVE BRED PREDATORS

Version 1: 2018

Custodians are opposed to the captive breeding of predators for the purpose of all forms of hunting.

This practice is not regarded as responsible hunting and is detrimental to the image and integrity of all hunting in Africa.

Furthermore, the hunting of captive bred predators has no proven conservation value to predators in the wild nor does it increase in any way, the range habitat of predators.

Until such time that the IUCN recognizes a conservation value for the hunting of captive bred predators, CPHC-SA will not hunt or support any form of hunting of such captive bred predators under any circumstances.

<u>---end---</u>